Response to Restriction Requirement U.S. Application No. 10/806,062 Page 13 of 13

## **RESPONSE**

In response to the outstanding Restriction Requirement dated January 12, 2006, Applicants provisionally elect, with traverse, Group IV, claim 23. For a restriction requirement to be valid, the Examiner must establish that there is no inventive concept between the claims. (M.P.E.P § 1893.03(d)). Applicants note that, for example, the claims of Groups I-XXXI are classified in the same class/subclass and a search on one Group would necessarily entail searching the subject matter of all groups, and therefore it would not pose an undue burden to search all the claims. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request examination of all these claims simultaneously.

The Applicants expressly reserve the right to prosecute species not elected herein in other patent applications claiming the benefit of the filing date of this application. Furthermore, the Applicants understand that upon allowance of a generic claim, they will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional Groups which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of any allowed generic claims.

Any questions raised by this submission may be directed to the undersigned at (617) 570-8743. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any underpayments, or credit any overpayments, to our Deposit Account No. 07-1700, Reference: IPT-101.

Date: July 11, 2006 Reg. No. 50,356

Tel. No.: (617) 570-8743 Fax No.: (617) 523-1231 Theresa C. Kavanaugh Attorney for Applicants Goodwin Procter LLP Exchange Place

Boston, Massachusetts 02109

Customer No. 051414